



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,746	01/24/2002	Atsushi Miyazawa	111735	8612
75	90 01/27/2005		EXAM	INER
Oliff & Berridge			· LE, VU	
PO Box 19928				
Alexandria, VA	A 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAIL ED. 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/031,746	MIYAZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vu Le	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2002</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application rity documents have been receive	on No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received	d.				
		+				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/02.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	te)-152)			
	٠, ـ					

Application/Control Number: 10/031,746

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, <u>except</u> that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jones et al, US 6,573,928.

Re claim 1, Jones et al discloses a stereoscopic image generating apparatus (fig.

20, col. 3, line 6 – col. 4, line 7, col. 4, lines 31-37, col. 14, lines 1-19) comprising:

an input image storage memory comprising storage areas corresponding to n viewing images inputted from an outside for every frame, respectively ("Memory Management System", col. 4, lines 31-37);

and an interleaver for interleaving the viewing images in parallel by reading out image data to be sampled of the viewing images from predetermined storage addresses of the input image storage memory, and generating a stereoscopic image to be displayed on a n-eye type of stereoscopic image display apparatus ("Video Controller", col. 14, lines 7-19).

Re claim 2, Jones et al discloses a stereoscopic image generating apparatus (fig. 20, col. 3, line 6 – col. 4, line 7, col. 4, lines 31-37, col. 14, lines 1-19) comprising:

a frame buffer for storing a frame of stereoscopic images therein ("Memory Management System", col. 14, lines 1-19);

and an interleaver, when n viewing images are inputted from an outside for every frame in serial order, for interleaving stereoscopic images stored in the frame buffer with viewing images inputted, restoring the stereoscopic images, and generating a stereoscopic image to be displayed on a n-eye type of stereoscopic image display apparatus ("Video Controller", col. 4, lines 31-37, col. 14, lines 7-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as setforth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al as applied to claims 1-2 respectively and further in view of Yusuke et al, JP 11027607A (Machine Translation).

Re claim 3, Jones et al discloses the stereoscopic image generating apparatus as claimed in claim 1, but fails to disclose further:

a game image generating section for generating game images corresponding to n viewpoints for every frame; and a game operating section for operating a stereoscopic dynamic image game by making the stereoscopic image generating apparatus generate

a stereoscopic image on the basis of the game images, and by making the stereoscopic image display apparatus display the stereoscopic image thereon.

Yusuke et al teaches a stereoscopic image generating apparatus that incorporates a game image generating section for generating game images corresponding to n viewpoints for every frame (drawings 6 & 7, para 0069-0070);

and a game operating section for operating a stereoscopic dynamic image game by making the stereoscopic image generating apparatus generate a stereoscopic image on the basis of the game images, and by making the stereoscopic image display apparatus display the stereoscopic image thereon (drawings 6 &7, para 0071-0079).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Jones et al and Yusuke et al as a whole, it would have been obvious to modify Jones et al to further include a game image generating section and a game operating section for operating a stereoscopic dynamic image game as taught by Yusuke et al for the benefit of providing a gaming stereoscopic display environment that can accommodate multiple users each having separate visual presence at different direction on a single display screen (See Yusuke et al "Description of the Prior Art").

Re claim 4, Jones et al discloses the stereoscopic image generating apparatus as claimed in claim 2, but fails to disclose further: a game image generating section for generating game images corresponding to n viewpoints for every frame; and a game operating section for operating a stereoscopic dynamic image game by making the stereoscopic image generating apparatus generate a stereoscopic image on the basis

of the game images, and by making the stereoscopic image display apparatus display the stereoscopic image thereon.

Yusuke et al teaches a stereoscopic image generating apparatus that incorporates a game image generating section for generating game images corresponding to n viewpoints for every frame (drawings 6 & 7, para 0069-0070);

and a game operating section for operating a stereoscopic dynamic image game by making the stereoscopic image generating apparatus generate a stereoscopic image on the basis of the game images, and by making the stereoscopic image display apparatus display the stereoscopic image thereon (drawings 6 &7, para 0071-0079).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Jones et al and Yusuke et al as a whole, it would have been obvious to modify Jones et al to further include a game image generating section and a game operating section for operating a stereoscopic dynamic image game as taught by Yusuke et al for the benefit of providing a gaming stereoscopic display environment that can accommodate multiple users each having separate visual presence at different direction on a single display screen (See Yusuke et al "Description of the Prior Art").

Contact

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu Le whose telephone number is 703-308-6613. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

AU 2613

(703) 308-6613

Vu.Le@uspto.gov